UNVEILING THE MYSTERIES OF MEANING: A JOURNEY INTO THE WORLD OF SEMANTICS

Saidivalieva Barno Saidbahromovna

associate professor,

Yuldasheva Mamura Bakhtiyorovna

associate professor

Rakhmonova Yulduz Khusanovna

assistant, PhD student,

Khalikova Latofat Uktamovna

Department of Foreign Languages Tashkent State Transport University assistent

Abstract: This article embarks on a journey into the captivating world of semantics, the science of meaning in language. It delves into the core question of how language structures itself to convey meaning. By meticulously examining semantic concepts like sense, reference, and the intricate relationship between speaker's meaning and semantic meaning, the article offers a deeper understanding of the intricacies of communication. The multifaceted nature of meaning is unveiled through exploring the distinction between denotation (core meaning) and connotation (emotional/cultural associations). Social contexts and thematic structures are also highlighted as contributing factors to shaping meaning, emphasizing the dynamic nature of language.

The article then dissects the two main branches of semantics: compositional and lexical. Compositional semantics sheds light on how individual word meanings and their arrangement combine to create the overall meaning of a sentence.

Keywords: Semantics, denotation, connotation, social context, compositional semantics, lexical semantics, conceptual theory of meaning, behavioristic theory of meaning

INTRODUCTION

Semantics, the study of meaning, emerged as a distinct field in the late 19th century, contrasted with phonetics as the science of sounds by scholars like Breal. Initially encompassing meaningful forms and the lexicon, it later narrowed to focus predominantly on meaning within the lexicon, including shifts in word meanings, until the 1960s. Subsequently, semantics became separated from the study of distribution and was set against grammar or specifically syntax within generative grammar frameworks from the 1960s onwards. In contemporary discourse, various interpretations of semantics exist: some view it as the study of meaning abstracted from contextual usage, distinct from pragmatics, while others integrate pragmatics as a branch. Despite diverse perspectives, some treatises on semantic theory, particularly in formal semantics, have a narrow focus, often neglecting even the meanings of lexical units.

II. DEFINITION

Semantics, fundamentally, is the investigation of meaning in language. Language serves as a medium to convey meanings stored within our minds, communicated through spoken and written forms, as well as gestures and actions. While phonology explores sound patterns, morphology and syntax examine the structure of words and sentences, respectively, facilitating meaningful communication. At the heart of semantics lies the inquiry: "How does language organize to convey meaning?" This abstract level of linguistic analysis delves into the nature of meaning, a concept inseparable from human cognitive processes and comprehension. Thus, semantic analysis essentially scrutinizes our capacity to think logically and comprehend, striving to offer a systematic understanding of the essence of meaning (Leech, 1981).

III. WHAT IS MEANING?

The inquiry into the nature of meaning has captivated philosophers for millennia, prompting reflections on the relationship between words and the objects they signify. Take, for instance, the word "cow." Its meaning may seem straightforward—it denotes a particular animal with distinguishing characteristics—but how do words acquire such meanings, and why does "cow" specifically denote that bovine creature and no other? Some theorists argue that the connection between words and their referents is purely conventional, established by societal agreement. In contrast, others posit that certain intrinsic attributes of objects shape our mental concepts, which we then articulate through corresponding words. This notion suggests a fundamental correspondence between word sounds and their meanings, evident in phenomena like onomatopoeia, where words imitate sounds associated with their referents.

Plato's Cratylus dialogue explores the idea that words directly correspond to real-world objects, although this applies selectively to some words and not others—particularly those that represent abstract concepts like "love" or "hate." Subsequent thinkers, including de Saussure, challenged the notion of a direct resemblance between words and concepts, highlighting the arbitrary nature of the relationship between the signifier (word) and the signified (concept). Furthermore, attempts to define word meanings often rely on circular explanations, as we use other words to elucidate their meanings, creating a complex web of interrelated signifiers.

In their seminal work "The Meaning of Meaning" (1923), C.K. Ogden and I.A. Richards proposed various definitions of meaning, reflecting the multifaceted nature of linguistic signification. These definitions encompass intrinsic properties of objects, lexical relationships, connotations, speaker and hearer perspectives, and the speaker's intention or belief about what they are referring to. The diverse interpretations of meaning stem from the diverse types of signs present in language—some directly indicating meaning, others resembling their referents, and still others functioning symbolically despite lacking a direct resemblance to their referents.

IV. WORDS AND MEANINGS

In Lewis Carroll's whimsical tale "Alice through the Looking Glass," Humpty Dumpty jests that words mean whatever he chooses them to mean, prompting Alice to question the possibility of such linguistic flexibility. This exchange captures the distinction between a word and its meaning—a notion we'll explore using the example of the word "pen." When we encounter the word "pen," it invokes a concept in our minds—an apparatus for writing. This concept, represented by the word "pen," serves as a name for its meaning. Hence, when we say "pen means 'pen'," we're essentially using the word in its customary manner, as names for their meanings.

V. SENSE AND REFERENT

Consider the sentence: "Jack put his pen next to Betty's pen." Here, the word "pen" appears to have the same meaning each time, representing an instrument for writing. However, it also conveys two distinct referents—Jack's pen and Betty's pen. Thus, we discern two facets of meaning: sense and referent. The sense of a word, akin to its dictionary definition, remains constant, while the referent varies based on contextual usage. In this instance, "pen" retains the same sense but denotes different referents—Jack's pen and Betty's pen—illustrating the dual nature of word meaning.ng visual resemblance to their referents.

VI. REFERENCE

The exploration of reference, akin to the study of sense, can be segmented into two realms: speaker-reference and linguistic-reference. Speaker-reference pertains to what a speaker intends to convey by using a linguistic expression. For instance, when someone sarcastically remarks, "Here comes Queen Elizabeth," referring to a pretentious acquaintance, the speaker-reference of "Queen Elizabeth" is the acquaintance. Since speaker-reference is contingent on the speaker and context, it falls outside the purview of semantics and aligns with pragmatics.

In contrast, linguistic-reference involves the systematic denotation of a linguistic expression within a language. For example, the linguistic expression "Queen Elizabeth" in the sentence "Here comes Queen Elizabeth" actually refers to the public figure Queen Elizabeth. Unlike speaker-reference, linguistic-reference operates within semantics, focusing on reference that is inherent to the language itself rather than contingent on the speaker and context.

Let's delve into some conceptual tools useful for understanding reference—referent, extension, prototype, and stereotype—before examining various types of linguistic reference, including coreference, anaphora, and deixis.

Referent: The entity identified by a referring expression, such as a noun or noun phrase, is termed the referent of that expression. For instance, when you point to a specific robin and say, "That bird looks sick," the referent for "That bird" is the particular robin you're indicating.

Extension: Extension denotes the set of all potential referents for a referring expression. For example, the extension of "bird" encompasses all entities—past, present, and future—that could be systematically referred to by the term "bird."

Prototype: A typical member of the extension of a referring expression serves as a prototype for that expression. For instance, a robin or a bluebird might be considered prototypes of the term "bird," while a pelican or an ostrich, being somewhat atypical, would not.

Stereotype: A list of characteristics describing a prototype is termed a stereotype. For instance, the stereotype of "bird" might include features such as having two legs and two wings, feathers, and building nests.

Coreference: Two linguistic expressions that refer to the same real-world entity are deemed coreferential. For instance, in the sentence "Jay Leno is the host of the Tonight Show," both "Jay Leno" and "the host of the Tonight Show" are coreferential as they denote the same person, Jay Leno. However, coreferential expressions are not necessarily synonymous.

Anaphora: A linguistic expression that refers to another linguistic expression is termed an anaphor. For instance, in the sentence "Mary wants to play whoever thinks himself capable of beating her," "himself" is used anaphorically to refer to "whoever."

Deixis: A deictic expression has a fixed meaning but can refer to different entities based on the speaker's spatial and temporal orientation. Examples include pronouns like "you" and "I," spatial adverbs like "here" and "there," and temporal adverbs like "yesterday," "today," and "tomorrow." Deixis intersects with anaphora when pronouns refer either to other linguistic expressions or to entities in the extralinguistic context.

VII. SPEAKER'S MEANING AND SEMANTIC MEANING

It's widely acknowledged that language serves to convey meaning, yet defining meaning presents challenges due to its multifaceted nature. Consider this scenario: If I ask you, "Can you give me an apple?" while eyeing a bowl of apples nearby, the semantic meaning of my question pertains to whether you possess the ability to provide an apple. However, what I truly intend, known as the speaker's meaning, extends beyond the literal semantic meaning. Sometimes, individuals may humorously respond solely to the semantic meaning, saying, "Yes, I can," overlooking the implied request to hand over one of the apples nearby.

Linguists explore both semantic meaning and speaker's meaning. Let's delve into semantic meaning first. Understanding semantic meaning involves integrating three key components: the context of the sentence, the meanings of its constituent words, and its morphological and syntactic structure. For instance, consider the sentence: "My dog chased a cat under the house." The use of "my" in this sentence depends on the context; it refers to you, the speaker. Therefore, the semantic meaning of the sentence is influenced by its situational context, the meanings of individual words like "dog" and "cat," and the grammatical structure.

Now, let's consider the speaker's meaning of the same sentence. Suppose you know that I've lost my cat, and you utter this sentence to me. In this context, your speaker's meaning likely intends to inform me that my cat might be hiding beneath the house and suggests that I search there. Understanding your speaker's meaning requires considering additional contextual factors. While the semantic meaning establishes a connection between your statement and the suggestion to search for my lost cat, grasping your speaker's meaning necessitates shared knowledge—such as the awareness of my missing cat, my desire to find it, and your concern for its safe return home. These contextual elements contribute to determining your speaker's meaning.

VIII. THE TWO MAIN BRANCHES OF SEMANTICS

Grammar, encompassing morphology and syntax, is responsible for generating an infinite array of words, phrases, and sentences. Despite this vast linguistic landscape, semanticists employ the Principle of Compositionality to elucidate how language units acquire meaning and how language users continuously decipher new meanings daily.

The Principle of Compositionality dictates that the semantic meaning of any linguistic unit is derived from the semantic meanings of its constituent parts and their arrangement. For instance, in the sentence "Mary liked you," the meaning is determined by (a) the meanings of the individual morphemes (Mary, like, you, "past") and (b) the structural organization of the sentence. This principle extends beyond sentences; it applies to verb phrases like "liked you," where the meaning is shaped by its components and grammatical structure. Compositional semantics, also known as formal semantics, delves into how the Principle of Compositionality operates. Formal semanticists scrutinize various grammatical patterns within individual languages and across different linguistic systems. This subfield emerged in linguistics during the early 1970s, influenced notably by philosophers such as Richard Montague.

On the other hand, lexical semantics captivates linguists intrigued by word meanings and their interrelations. Thematic roles serve as a prominent framework for probing lexical semantics, particularly in the context of verb semantics. However, thematic roles are just one avenue within lexical semantics, which encompasses a wide array of investigations. Syntacticians find lexical semantics particularly intriguing because a word's meaning often influences its syntactic behavior. For example, the dual thematic roles of "ripen" elucidate why it can be grammatically employed with or without an object, showcasing the intricate relationship between word meaning and syntax.

IX. DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF WORD MEANING

- (i) Denotative meaning: This refers to the logical essence of a concept, delineating its essential qualities that set it apart from other concepts.
- (ii) Connotative meaning: This encompasses the supplementary or associated meanings linked to the denotative or conceptual meaning of a word. It involves the associations evoked by a concept whenever it is mentioned.

- (iii) Social meaning: This pertains to the significance a word acquires through its usage in specific social contexts and circumstances.
- (iv) Thematic meaning: This concerns the organization of a message for emphasis, highlighting the manner in which information is structured.

X. THEORIES OF MEANING

Here, we will briefly outline theories pertinent to semantics.

- 1. The Theory of Naming: This theory, as expounded in Plato's Cratylus dialogue, posits language as a communication system comprising two components: the signifier and the signified. According to Plato, the signifier is the word in the language, while the signified is the object in the world to which it "stands for" or "refers to." Traditional grammar, rooted in this theory, viewed the word as the fundamental unit of syntax and semantics, comprising a "sign" composed of two elements: the form (signifier) and its meaning (signified). However, this view encounters challenges, particularly with nouns that do not refer to physical objects and verbs, adjectives, and adverbs.
- 2. The Conceptual Theory of Meaning: In this theory, words and things are linked through the mediation of concepts of the mind. Ogden and Richards (1923) envisioned this relationship as a triangle involving thought (or reference or meaning or concept), the symbol (linguistic element), and the referent (object in the world). According to this theory, the connection between the symbol and referent occurs through reference or thought (concepts). Chomsky advocates for this theory, emphasizing the role of intuition and introspection in understanding language.
- 3. The Behavioristic Theory of Meaning: Scholars such as Malinowski and Firth define meaning in terms of the context in which language is used. They argue that describing a language requires reference to the context of the situation in which the language operates. Bloomfield, influenced by behaviorism, posited that the meaning of linguistic elements is the situation in which the word is used. Chomsky, however, criticizes this behavioristic view, advocating for the conceptual theory of meaning.

CONCLUSION

The exploration of semantics, the science of meaning in language, unveils a captivating realm where words transcend mere symbols to become vessels of complex ideas and emotions. We've delved into the fundamental question: how does language organize itself to convey meaning? By dissecting semantic concepts like sense, reference, and the intricate interplay between speaker's meaning and semantic meaning, we gain a deeper understanding of how communication unfolds.

The multifaceted nature of meaning becomes apparent when we consider the distinction between denotation, the core meaning of a word, and connotation, the additional emotional or cultural associations it evokes. Furthermore, social contexts and thematic structures contribute to shaping meaning, highlighting the dynamic nature of language.

The two main branches of semantics, compositional and lexical, offer complementary perspectives. Compositional semantics sheds light on how the meanings of individual words and their arrangement combine to create the overall meaning of a sentence. Conversely, lexical semantics delves into the intricate world of word meanings, exploring how words acquire meaning, how their meanings interrelate, and how thematic roles influence verb semantics.

The diverse theories of meaning, from the theory of naming to the conceptual and behavioristic theories, offer valuable insights into the relationship between words, thoughts, and the world around us. While no single theory provides a complete explanation, understanding these diverse perspectives allows us to appreciate the richness and complexity of semantic meaning.

In conclusion, semantics unveils the intricate tapestry of how language weaves meaning. As we continue to explore the nuances of word meaning, reference, and speaker intention, we gain a deeper appreciation for the power of language to connect us, inform us, and shape our understanding of the world.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Bloomfield, L. (1933). Language. New York: Holt.
- 2. Carroll, L. (1871). Alice through the Looking Glass. Macmillan.
- 3. Chomsky, N. (1957). Syntactic Structures. The Hague: Mouton.
- 4. Cowie, A.P. (2009). Semantics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 5. De Saussure, F. (1916). Course in General Linguistics. (translated by Wa Fasold, Ralph, W., et al. (2006). An Introduction to Language and Linguistics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 6. Firth, J.R. (1957). Papers in Linguistics 1/34 51. London: Oxford University Press.
- 7. Johnson, K. and Johnson, H. (1999). Encyclopedic Dictionary of Applied Linguistics. USA: Blackwell Publishers Inc.
 - 8. Leech, G.N. (1981). Semantics (2nd ed.). Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- 9. Mamura Bakhtiyarovna Yuldosheva (2022). The Stylistic Significance of Translating Portraits Uzbek Novels into English. Academic research in educational sciences, 3 (2), 224-228. doi: 10.24412/2181-1385-2022-2-224-228
- 10. Rakhmonova, Y. K. (2024). The Complexity of Translating Hemingway's Simplicity: Chiastic Patterns in The Sun Also Rises. World of
- 11. Raxmanova, Y., & Shadiyeva, S. Issues of Teaching Uzbek Students English. Экономика, 252-255.
- 12. Raxmonova, Y. (2023). Boshlang'ich ta'limda ingliz tilini o'rgatish texnologiyasi (qo'shiqlar orqali). Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

- 13. Raxmonova, Y. (2023). Matn va uslub. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 14. Raxmonova, Y. (2023). Semantik o'zgarishga ta'rif. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 15. Raxmonova, Y. (2023). Tarjima tilida metaforaning ishlatilishi. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 16. Richards, Jack C. and Schmidt, R. (2002). Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics (3rd ed). England: Pearson.
- 17. Saidbaxromovna, S. B. (2023). O 'Zbekistonda Ta'lim Tizimida Amalga Oshirilayotgan Ishlohotlar. World scientific research journal, 12(1), 87-95.
- 18. Saydivaliyeva, B. (2023). Methods of Using Games in Foreign Language Teaching at Higher Education. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 19. Saydivaliyeva, B. (2023). The Importance of Style Teaching for University Foreign Language Students. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5
- 20. Saydivaliyeva, B. (2023). Useful Tips for Teaching Vocabulary in EFL Classes. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 21. Shuttlworth. M, Cowie. M. (1997). Dictionary of Translation Studies. St. Jerome, Manchester, p.112.
- 22. Tashpulatova, M., Khalikova, L., Rakhmanova, Y., & Ataeva, G. (2022). Analysis of Students' Memes: An Integrative Review of the Role of Cultural, Semiotic and Community Memory Paratexts in Multimodal Education. Multidisciplinary International Journal of Research lines and Projects, 13, 2
- 23. Uktamovna, X. L. (2022). Development of the Functional Life Education of the Pupils in The Process of Percepting and Understanding Picture Texts. Journal of new century innovations, 19(2), 15-22.
- 24. Uktamovna, X. L. (2022). Development Of the Functional Life Education of The Pupils in The Process of Percepting and Understanding Picture Texts. Journal of new century innovations, 19(2), 15-22.
- 25. Uktamovna, X. L., & Abduxoliq o'g'li, Q. M. (2023). Methodology For Developing Socio-Cultural Language Skills of Future English Teachers in The Process of Reading Fiction. Journal of new century innovations, 12(1), 144-150.
- 26. Uktamovna, X. L., & Axmedovna, T. M. (2022). Analysis of The Concepts of «Education» and «Gender Education». Journal of new century innovations, 19(2), 10-14.
- 27. Uktamovna, X. L., Shuxrat o'g'li, N. S., & Rustam o'g'li, F. A. (2023). Discursive-modus concept as a source of phrasemosemiosis. Journal of new century innovations, 12(1), 135-143.
- 28. Uktamovna, X. L., Sirojiddin o'g'li, X. F., & Baxtiyor o'g'li, M. S. (2023). ETHICS OF THE TEXT AS A DISCURSIVE CHARACTERISTIC. Journal of new century innovations, 12(1), 128-134.

- 29. Xalikova, L. U. (2022). Export Of Educational Services in Modern Conditions: Problems and Prospects of Development. Journal of new century innovations, 11(3), 185-191.
- 30. Yuldasheva Ma'Mura Bahtiyarovna (2020). The organization of the mental lexicon. Наука, образование и культура, (1 (45)), 44-45.
- 31. Yuldasheva, M. B. (2020). History of the English Uzbek Translation. Theoretical & Applied Science, (3), 11-14.
- 32. Сайдивалиева, Б. С., & Юлдошева, М. Б. (2023). Ижтимоий адолатнинг ва бугунги кундаги моҳияти. World scientific research journal, 11(1), 66-75.