

Nosirova Shakhlo Yokubjan qizi

Teacher of Turan International university

Annotation: this article provides information about the formation of words in English and their grammatical rules, the existing grammatical structure of word formation is explained one by one and examples are given.

Key words: morpheme, lexical system, grammar, word formation, method, linguists

Conversion is a very productive way of forming new words in Modern English. The term “conversion” was first used by H.Sweet in his book “New English Grammar” in 1892.

There are a lot of approaches to the study of conversion. Some linguists think that conversion is the formation of the words without affixes. Others say that conversion is the formation of new word with the help of a zero morpheme Conversion is also defined as a shift from one part of speech to another. A.G.Kennedy writes “Conversion has already been defined as a shift from one part of speech to another. But this functional change has also been observed in a shift from one kind of noun to another, or one kind of verbs to another, or one kind of adverb to another; and it seems logical to regard conversion as functional change not only between the parts of speech but also within one part of speech. It should be insisted also that conversion and derivational change are two distinct processes”²².

Prof. A.L.Smirnitsky says that conversion is the formation of new a word by the change of paradigm. It is the paradigm that is used as a word-building means²³. For example: book, books’, book’s ; -s, -s’, -‘s are the paradigms of the noun “book” ; to book- booked, books, booking, booked; -ed, -ed. (the ending of Participle II) –s, ing, are the paradigms of the verb “to book”. So conversion can be described as a morphological way of forming words. There are two approaches to the study of conversion: synchronic and diachronic. On the diachronic level we study the origin of conversion, how the converted the pairs appeared in the language. Conversion was born in the XIII-th century as a result of the disappearance of the English language in Middle English. Some new words formed by conversion were created on the analogy of the semantic patterns existed in the language, for example: to motor – travel by car; to phone – use the telephone; to wire – send a telegram.

On the synchronic level conversion is considered as a type of forming new words by means of paradigms. The two words differ only in their paradigms. When we deal with words produced by conversion the following types of questions naturally arise:

²² Kennedy A.G. Current English. USA, 1995.-P 40.

²³ Смирницкий А.И. Лексикология английского языка. Москва, 1956. - P 71.

what part of speech is the word *room* in the sentence: I was to *room* with another girl called Jessie.

Conversion consists in making a new word from some existing word by changing the category of a part of speech, the morphemic shape of the original word remaining unchanged. From this point of view the word *hand* in *Hand me that book* is not a verb, but a noun used in a verbal syntactical function, that is, *hand (me)* and *hands* (in *She has small hands*) are not two different words but one.

According to this functional approach, conversion may be regarded as a specific feature of the English categories of parts of speech, which are supposed to be able to break through rigid border lines dividing one category from another thus enriching the process of communication through the sheer flexibility of the syntactic structures. Normally, a word changes its syntactic function without any shift in lexical meaning. For example: in *yellow leaves* and in *The leaves were turning yellow* the adjective denotes colour. Yet, in *The leaves yellowed* the converted unit no longer denotes colour, but the process of changing colour, so that there is an essential change in meaning.

Conversion is not only a highly productive but also a particularly English way of word-building. Its immense productivity is considerably encouraged by certain features of the English language in its modern stage of development. The analytical structure of modern English greatly facilitates processes of making words of one category of parts of speech from words of another.

Conversion is a convenient and “easy” way of enriching the vocabulary with new words. It is certainly an advantage to have two (or more) words where there was one, all of them fixed on the same structural and semantic base. The high productivity of conversion finds its reflection in speech where numerous occasional cases of conversion can be found, which are not registered by dictionaries and which occur momentarily, through the immediate need of the situation.

The two categories of parts of speech especially affected by conversion are nouns and verbs. Verbs made from nouns are the most numerous amongst the words produced by conversion: *to hand, to back, to face, to eye, to mouth, to nose, to dog, to wolf, to monkey, to can, to coal, to stage, to screen, to room, to floor, to blackmail, to blacklist, to honeymoon* and very many others.

Nouns frequently made from verbs: *do* – event, incident; This is the queerest *do* I’ve ever come across; *go* – energy; He has still plenty of *go* at his age. Another examples: *make, run, find, catch, cut, walk, worry, show, move*

Verbs can also be made from adjectives: *to pale, to yellow, to cool, to grey, to rough*; We decided *to rough* it in the tents as the weather was warm.

Other parts of speech are not entirely unsusceptible to conversion as the following examples show: *to down*, *to out*, the ups and downs, the ins and outs; as in a newspaper heading “Diplomatist *outed* from Budapest”; the like of me and the like of you.

A word made by conversion has a different meaning from the word from which it was made though the two meanings can be associated. There are certain regularities in the associations which can be roughly classified. For instance, in the group of verbs made from nouns some of the regular semantic associations are as indicated in the following list:

1. The noun is name of a tool or implement; the verb denotes an action performed by the tool: *to hammer, to nail, to pin, to brush, to comb, to pencil*.

2. The noun is the name of an animal, the verb denotes an action or aspect of behaviour considered typical of this animal: *to dog, to wolf, to monkey, to ape, to fox, to rat*. "To fish" does not mean "to behave like a fish", but "to try to catch fish". The same meaning of one of the meanings of "to rat", the other is "to turn informer, squeal".

3. The name of the part of the human body-an action performed by it: *to hand, to leg (sl), to eye, to elbow, to shoulder, to nose, to mouth*. However "to face" does not imply *doing something by or even with one's face but turning it in a certain direction*. "To back" means either *to move back wards* or in the figurative sense *support somebody or something*.

4. The name of a profession or occupation- an activity typical of it: *to nurse, to cook, to maid, to groom*.

5. The name of the place- the process of occupying the place or of putting something, somebody in it: *to room, to house, to place, to table, to cage*.

6. The name of a container – the act of putting smth. Within the container (*to can, to bottle, to pocket*);

7. The name of a meal- the process of taking it (*to lunch, to supper*)

The main reason for the widespread development of conversion in present-day English is no doubt the absence of morphological elements serving as classifying signals, or, in other words, of formal signs marking the part of speech to which the word belongs.

Types of conversion. Among the main types of conversion are:

- 1) verbalization (the formation of verbs), e.g. *to ape* (from *ape* n.);
- 2) substantivation (the formation of nouns), e.g. *a private* (from *private* adj.);
- 3) adjectivation (the formation of adjectives), e.g. *down* (adj) (from *down* adv.);
- 4) adverbialization (the formation of adverbs), e.g. *home* (adv.) (from *home* n.)²⁴

The two categories of parts of speech especially affected by conversion are nouns and verbs.

Verbalization is the creation of a verb from a noun, adjective or other word. In modern linguistics it is also called verbification, or verbing,

Examples of verbification in the English language number in the thousands, including some of the most common words, such as *mail* and *e-mail, strike, talk, salt, pepper, switch, bed, sleep, ship, train, stop, drink, cup, lure, mutter, dr*

²⁴ https://studopedia.su/10_43003_CONVERSION.html

ess, dizzy, divorce, fool, merge, and many more, to be found on virtually every page in the dictionary.

Proper nouns can also be verbed in the English language. «Google» is the name of a popular internet search engine. To google something now means to look it up on the internet, as in «He didn't know the answer, so he googled it.»

Verbs converted from nouns are called denominal verbs. If the noun refers to some object of reality (animate or inanimate) the converted verb may denote:

- 1) action characteristic of the object: *ape* n. > *ape* v. 'imitate in a foolish way';
- 2) instrumental use of the object: *whip* n. > *whip* v. 'strike with a whip';
- 3) acquisition or addition of the *object*: *fish* n. > *fish* v. 'catch or try to catch fish';
- 4) deprivation of the object: *dust* n. > *dust* v. 'remove dust from smth.';
- 5) location: *pocket* n. > *pocket* v. 'put into one's pocket'.

Verbs with adjective stems, such as *blind, calm, clean, empty, idle, lame, loose, tidy, total* show fairly regular semantic relationships with the corresponding adjectives. Like verbs with adjective stems that had been formerly suffixed and lost their endings (e. g. *to thin*<OE *thynnian*) they denote change of state. If they are used intransitively, they mean 'to become blind, calm, clean, empty, etc.', their formula as transitive verbs is: 'to make blind, calm, clean, etc.'.

Substantivation. Nouns converted from verbs are called deverbal substantives. If the verb refers to an action, the converted noun may denote:

- 1) instance of the *action*: *jump* v. > *jump* n. 'sudden spring from the ground';
- 2) agent of the action: *help* v. > *help* n. 'a person who helps';
- 3) place of the action: *drive* v. > *drive* n. 'a path or road along which one drives';
- 4) result of the action: *peel* v. > *peel* n. 'the outer skin of fruit or potatoes taken off';
- 5) object of the action: *let* v. > *let* n. 'a property available for rent'²⁵.

In case of polysemantic words one and the same member of a conversion pair may belong to several groups. For example, the deverbal substantive *slide* is referred to the group denoting 'place of the action' (point 3) in the meaning 'a stretch of smooth ice or hard snow on which people slide' and to the group 'agent of the action' (point 2) when this noun means 'a sliding machine part'.

Deverbal nouns formed by conversion follow the regular semantic correlations observed in nouns formed with verbal stems by means of derivation. They fall, among others, under the categories of process, result, place or agent.

Nouns may be formed by conversion from any other part of speech as well, for instance from **adverbs**.; *the bounding vitality which had carried her through what had been a life of quite sharp ups and downs*.

Nouns can be formed from **adjectives**, in this case they are called substantivized adjectives, i.e. those that in the course of time have been converted to nouns and

²⁵ <https://infopedia.su/2xb590.html>

therefore have acquired the ability to name substances or objects: *The bride was dressed in white. You mix blue and yellow to make green.*

There are some other ways of word-formation such as : word composition (when new words are produced by combining two or more stems), shortening (contraction), soundinterchage, back- formation and blending.

REFERENCE

1. Kennedy A.G. Current English. USA, 1995.-P 40.
2. Смирницкий А.И. Лексикология английского языка. Москва, 1956. - P 71.
3. Kateřina Fröhlichová WORD FORMATION AND NEOLOGISM IN THE CURRENT ENGLISH LANGUAGE Bakalářská práce p- 8-9, Plzeň 2011
4. Dieter Kastovsky' HANS MARCHAND AND THE MARCHANDEANS Handbook of Word-Formation (pp.99-124)
5. Hans Marchand's theory of word-formation: Genesis and development. In: Uwe Carls and Peter Lucko (eds.), Form, Function and Variation in English. Studies in Honour of Klaus Hansen, 19-39. Frankfurt am Main, etc.: Peter Lang.
6. R.S Ginzburg and others. A course in Modern English Lexicology. –M., 1978
7. <https://infopedia.su/2xb590.html>