

**REPRESENTATION OF ENGLISH CONJUNCTIONS IN EXPLANATORY
DICTIONARIES: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS**

Ataboyev Ahadjon Djumakuziyevich,

Associated professor of Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages,

Saidalikhonov Azizkhon Saidalikhon o'g'li,

Master student of Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages.

Annotation: *The present article represents very valuable data on representation of English conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries and makes a comprehensive analysis on them in a scientific point of view.*

Key words: *conjunction, explanatory dictionaries, coordinating conjunctions, subordinating conjunctions, correlative conjunctions.*

Explanatory dictionaries serve as invaluable resources for language learners and researchers, offering comprehensive explanations and examples of lexical items, including conjunctions. Conjunctions play a vital role in structuring sentences and conveying relationships between ideas in English. This article aims to analyze the representation of English conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries, examining the criteria used for inclusion, the organization of entries, and the depth of explanations provided. By exploring these aspects, we can gain insights into how conjunctions are presented and understood within the framework of lexicography. Conjunctions are integral components of language, facilitating the connection between words, phrases, and clauses within sentences. Understanding their usage and nuances is essential for effective communication. Explanatory dictionaries serve as invaluable tools in explicating the meanings and functions of conjunctions, aiding language learners, educators, and researchers. This article delves into the representation of English conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries, examining the criteria for inclusion, organization of entries, depth of explanation, and challenges faced by lexicographers in this endeavor.

The inclusion of conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries is guided by various criteria, including frequency of usage, functional importance, and syntactic significance. Common coordinating conjunctions such as "and," "but," and "or" are typically included due to their frequent occurrence and fundamental role in connecting words, phrases, or clauses. Similarly, subordinating conjunctions like "although," "because," and "if" are considered essential for understanding complex sentence structures and relationships between clauses. Explanatory dictionaries may also include correlative conjunctions, such as "either...or" and "neither...nor," which express parallelism and contrast.

In explanatory dictionaries, entries for conjunctions are typically organized alphabetically, facilitating easy access for users. Each entry provides a comprehensive

explanation of the conjunction's meaning, usage, and syntactic behavior. Additionally, examples are often included to illustrate how the conjunction is used in context. Entries may also feature information on pronunciation, word class, and grammatical properties, enhancing the user's understanding of the conjunction's function within sentences.

The depth of explanation provided for conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries varies depending on the target audience and the intended purpose of the dictionary. In general-purpose dictionaries aimed at learners and general users, explanations are concise and accessible, focusing on the basic meaning and usage of the conjunction. More specialized dictionaries, such as those designed for linguists or translators, may offer in-depth analyses of the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic properties of conjunctions, including their collocational patterns, semantic extensions, and discourse functions.

Despite their utility, explanatory dictionaries may face certain challenges and limitations in representing English conjunctions comprehensively. One challenge is the dynamic nature of language, with new conjunctions emerging and existing ones undergoing semantic shifts or grammatical changes over time. Explanatory dictionaries may struggle to keep pace with these developments, leading to gaps or inaccuracies in their coverage of conjunctions. Additionally, the sheer volume of conjunctions in English, including rare or archaic forms, poses challenges for dictionary compilers in terms of selection and prioritization.

Lexicographers employ various criteria to determine which conjunctions merit inclusion in explanatory dictionaries. Frequency of usage, functional importance, and syntactic significance are primary factors guiding selection. Common coordinating conjunctions such as "and," "but," and "or" are invariably included due to their ubiquitous presence and pivotal role in sentence structure. Subordinating conjunctions like "although," "because," and "if" are also deemed indispensable for understanding complex sentences. Additionally, correlative conjunctions such as "either...or" and "neither...nor" are featured for their distinctive syntactic functions.

Entries for conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries are typically organized alphabetically, facilitating ease of reference for users. Each entry provides a comprehensive explanation of the conjunction's meaning, usage, and syntactic behavior. Definitions elucidate the conjunction's role in connecting elements within sentences, delineating its coordinating or subordinating function. Furthermore, illustrative examples showcase the conjunction's usage in context, enhancing comprehension for learners and users.

The depth of explanation afforded to conjunctions in explanatory dictionaries varies according to the dictionary's target audience and purpose. General-purpose dictionaries geared towards learners and the general public offer concise yet accessible explanations, focusing on fundamental meanings and usage patterns. Conversely, specialized dictionaries catering to linguists and scholars may furnish more elaborate analyses of conjunctions, delving into their syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic

intricacies. Such detailed treatments may encompass discussions of collocational patterns, semantic extensions, and discourse functions, enriching users' understanding of conjunctions' multifaceted roles in language.

Explanatory dictionaries grapple with several challenges and limitations in representing English conjunctions comprehensively. One such challenge is the dynamic nature of language, wherein new conjunctions emerge and existing ones undergo semantic shifts or grammatical changes over time. Keeping pace with these developments poses a daunting task for lexicographers, who must strive to update dictionary entries to reflect contemporary usage accurately. Additionally, the sheer volume of conjunctions in English, including archaic or obscure forms, presents logistical hurdles in terms of selection and prioritization for inclusion.

Explanatory dictionaries play a crucial role in representing English conjunctions and providing valuable insights into their meaning, usage, and syntactic behavior. By examining the criteria for inclusion, organization of entries, and depth of explanation provided, we can gain a better understanding of how conjunctions are presented within the framework of lexicography. Despite facing challenges and limitations, explanatory dictionaries remain indispensable resources for language learners, researchers, and enthusiasts seeking to deepen their understanding of English grammar and usage. Further research into the representation of conjunctions in different types of dictionaries and across different languages can enrich our understanding of lexicographic practices and contribute to the development of more comprehensive and accessible reference materials.

REFERENCES:

1. Bennet D.C. *Spatial and Temporal Uses of English Preposition*. – London, 2005. – 344 p;
2. Blokh M.Y. *A Course in Theoretical English Grammar*. – M.: Visshaya shkola, 1983. – 383 p.;
3. Givon T. *Syntax and Semantics: Discourse and Syntax*. – New York: Academic Press, 2009. – 416 p.;
4. Gordon E.M. Krilova I.P. *A Grammar of Present-day English*. – M.: Higher School Publishing House, 1974. – 333 p.;
5. Khaymovich B.S, Rogovskaya B.I. *A Course of English Grammar*. – M.: Visshaya shkola, 1967. – 298 p. Ilysh B.A. *The Structure of Modern English*. – L.: Prosvesheniye, 1971. – 366 p.
6. Аксененко Б.Н. *Exercises in English Prepositions*. – СПб.: СПбГУ, 2012. – 166 p.;
7. Бархударов Л.С. *Очерки по морфологии английского языка*. – М., 1975. – 156 с.;

8. Виноградов В. В. Учебный пособие для филологии специальностей университетов/ Сост. Ю. А. Бельчиков;
9. Гаппоров М., Қосимова Р. Инглиз тили грамматикаси. Академик лицей ва касб-хунар коллажлари учун ўқув қўлланма. – Тошкент: Турон-Иқбол, 2010. – 336 б. – Б. 247.
10. Ильиш Б.А. Значение предлогов в современном английском языке, 2008. –172 с.;
11. Качалова К.Н., Израилевич Е.Е. Практическая грамматика английского языка. – Бишкек, 2007. – 660 с.;
12. Шахматов А.А. Учение о частях речи. – Москва: Учпедгиз, 1952. – 270 с. ;
13. <http://www.correctenglish.ru/theory/grammar/coordinating-conjunctions>
14. <https://www.native-english.ru/grammar/conjunction-preposition-adverb>
15. <https://www.native-english.ru/grammar/english-conjunctions>
16. <https://www.native-english.ru/grammar/subordinating-conjunctions>