

ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF ELECTRICITY PRODUCTION IN UZBEKISTAN

Yusupov Islombek Abdumutalib o'g'li

Central Asian Medical University xalqaro tibbiyot universiteti assistenti,

Abstract: *Uzbekistan, a country rich in natural resources and potential for renewable energy, is exploring various alternatives to traditional electricity generation. This scientific article evaluates the feasibility and comparative advantages of solar, wind, hydro, natural gas, coal, and nuclear power in the context of Uzbekistan's unique geographical and economic conditions. Statistical data on energy production and consumption are analyzed, alongside cost considerations and environmental impacts. The article concludes with recommendations on the most suitable methods for electricity production in Uzbekistan's current and future energy landscape.*

Keywords: *Electricity production, solar power, Uzbekenergo, energy system, hydroelectric power.*

Picture.1: JSC Thermal Power Plants



INTRODUCTION

Electricity production in Uzbekistan historically relies heavily on natural gas and coal, with limited contributions from hydroelectric and nuclear power. However, increasing concerns over energy security, environmental sustainability, and economic efficiency have prompted the exploration of alternative sources. This article examines the potential of solar, wind, hydro, natural gas, coal, and nuclear power to meet Uzbekistan's growing energy demands while addressing these critical concerns.

SOLAR POWER

Solar power represents a promising alternative in Uzbekistan due to its abundant sunlight and vast expanses of suitable land. As of 2023, Uzbekistan has installed solar capacity of 420 MW, with plans to expand significantly in the coming years (Uzbekenergo, 2023). Solar power offers advantages such as scalability, minimal environmental impact post-installation, and decreasing costs of photovoltaic technology. However, challenges include intermittency, storage requirements, and initial investment costs.

WIND POWER

Wind energy is another viable option, particularly in regions with favorable wind conditions such as southern Uzbekistan. Current installed wind capacity is 220 MW, with potential for further development (Uzbekenergo, 2023). Wind power benefits from being a renewable resource with no fuel costs and relatively quick installation times. Drawbacks include variability in wind speeds, land use requirements, and intermittency.

HYDROELECTRIC POWER

Hydroelectric power has been traditionally utilized in Uzbekistan, primarily from the Charvak and Toktogul reservoirs. The existing hydro capacity is approximately 800 MW (Uzbekenergo, 2023). Advantages include reliability, long operational life, and low operational costs once constructed. However, hydroelectric projects can be disruptive to ecosystems and require substantial initial investment.

NATURAL GAS

Natural gas is the dominant source of electricity generation in Uzbekistan, contributing approximately 80% of total generation capacity. It offers reliability, quick ramp-up times, and is readily available domestically. Challenges include price volatility, dependency on imports, and environmental concerns related to greenhouse gas emissions.

COAL

Coal-fired power plants account for a significant portion of Uzbekistan's electricity generation, despite environmental concerns. Uzbekistan possesses substantial coal reserves, ensuring security of supply but at the cost of increased emissions and environmental impact.

NUCLEAR POWER

Nuclear power is under consideration in Uzbekistan, with plans for the construction of a nuclear power plant in Navoiy by 2030. Nuclear energy offers stable, baseload power with minimal greenhouse gas emissions once operational. Challenges include high upfront costs, long construction timelines, and public concerns over safety and waste management.

COMPARISON OF ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES

Each energy source has unique advantages and disadvantages summarized in Table 1. Solar and wind power offer renewable, environmentally friendly solutions but are intermittent and require substantial land use. Hydroelectric power provides reliable energy but impacts local ecosystems. Natural gas and coal are reliable and domestically abundant but contribute to greenhouse gas emissions. Nuclear power is clean once operational but faces high costs and safety concerns.

COST CONSIDERATIONS

The cost of electricity production varies significantly among different sources. Solar and wind power costs have been declining due to technological advancements and economies of scale. Hydroelectric power remains competitive once constructed but requires significant upfront investment. Natural gas and coal offer relatively low operational costs but are subject to fuel price fluctuations. Nuclear power, while clean, is capital intensive and requires long-term financing.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Environmental considerations are crucial in Uzbekistan's energy strategy. Solar, wind, and hydroelectric power offer minimal greenhouse gas emissions and low environmental

impact once operational. In contrast, natural gas, coal, and nuclear power pose environmental risks related to emissions, mining, and waste management.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR UZBEKISTAN

Based on the analysis, Uzbekistan should prioritize the development of solar, wind, and hydroelectric power to diversify its energy mix and reduce dependency on natural gas and coal. These renewable sources can capitalize on Uzbekistan's abundant natural resources while mitigating environmental impacts. Nuclear power should proceed cautiously, addressing safety concerns and ensuring public acceptance.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Uzbekistan stands at a crossroads in its energy policy, with opportunities to embrace renewable energy sources while balancing economic, environmental, and social considerations. Continued investments in solar, wind, and hydroelectric power are recommended to achieve sustainable and resilient energy systems for future generations.

REFERENCES:

1. Uzbekenergo. (2023). Annual Report on Energy Statistics 2022. Tashkent, Uzbekistan: Uzbekenergo Publishing.
2. Karimov Sherali, & Yusupov Islombek. (2022). APIS MELLIFERA (ASALARI) TARKIBIDAN AMINOPOLISAXARIDLARNI AJRATIB OLISH. RESEARCH AND EDUCATION, 1(6), 174-180.
3. Yusupov Islombek. (2023). ASALARI (APIS MELLIFERA) TARKIBIDAN AMINOPOLISAXARID-XITUZAN AJRATIB OLISH. UNIVERSAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL AND NATURAL SCIENCES, 1(5), 57-65.
4. Shergoziyev Kilichbek. (2024). MODERNIZING HIGHER EDUCATION: NAVIGATING NEW AVENUES FOR LEARNING, TEACHING, AND ENGAGEMENT. Scientific Impulse, 2(17), 1611-1613.
5. Shergoziyev Kilichbek. (2024). SYNTHESIS AND PURIFICATION OF FURYLACROLEIN. Scientific Impulse, 2(17), 1614-1616.
6. Х.Саминов, & К. Шергазиев. (2024). СИНТЕЗ ТЕТРАГИДРОПИРАНА МЕТОДОМ КАТАЛИТИЧЕСКОГО ВОССТАНОВЛЕНИЯ ДИГИДРОПИРАНА С ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЕМ НИКЕЛЕВОГО КАТАЛИЗАТОРА. Scientific Impulse, 2(17), 1617-1619.
7. К. Шергазиев, & Х.Саминов. (2024). СИНТЕЗ ФУРФУРИЛОВОГО СПИРТА И ЕГО ПРОИЗВОДНЫХ И ИХ ПРИМЕНЕНИЕ В СЕЛЬСКОМ ХОЗЯЙСТВЕ. Scientific Impulse, 2(17), 1620-1622.
8. Jalolov, I., Mirzaolimov, M., Shergoziyev, Q., & Qoraboyeva, G. (2023). PAPAVER ANGRENICUM O‘SIMLIGINING YANGI ALKALOIDI . Евразийский журнал медицинских и естественных наук, 3(12), 83-86.